

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradomark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/618,741	07/18/2000	Thomas M. Hartnett	07206-118001	8640
22494 7	590 04/01/2002			
DALY, CROWLEY & MOFFORD, LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 101 275 TURNPIK		DERRINGTON, JAMES H		
CANTON, MA 02021-2310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	7
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>			
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	•			
	09/618,741	HARTNETT ET AL	- .			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James Derrington	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed arm of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. mmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	I.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•	·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considerati	on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- , ,	•				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		1 C C C 440(a) (d) a= (0				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. h h	. d				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document			01			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)		- · • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(otice of Informal Patent Application (PTC her:				

Application/Control Number: 09/618,741

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 13-26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maguire et al (4,686,070) in view of Serpek (1.030,929)

Maguire et al disclose the process of preparing ALON by subjecting a mixture of alumina and carbon to nitrogen gas at an elevated temperature (See Col. 2, lines 35-67). The claims additionally recite:

"dispersing" the alumina particles (claim1),
agitating the mixture of alumina and carbon in a chamber (claim 6),
rotating the chamber (claim 8),
agitating and removing (claim 14),
agitating by rotating (claim 17),
or continuously introducing and agitating (claim 25).

All of these manipulative steps are inclusive of employing a rotary furnace or heated rotary chamber for conducting the process of forming ALON by reaction of nitrogen gas with a mixture of alumina and carbon. Serpek disclose the process of introducing a mixture of alumina and carbon into a rotary reaction chamber or furnace where nitrogen gas is introduced for the formation of aluminum nitride. (See Fig.1 and paragraph bridging pages 1 and 2. This technique provides for even heating and insures more intimate contact with the gas (page 2, lines 5-8). It would have been

Application/Control Number: 09/618,741

Art Unit: 1731

obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have conducted the process of Maguire with a rotary furnace in order to provide the advantages as set forth by Serpek.

It is recognized that both the instant claims and Maguire et al disclose the production of ALON while Serpek discloses preparing ALN. However as evidenced by the teachings of Maguire et al, ALN is first formed during reaction of alumina and carbon with nitrogen at about 1550 °C and subsequently at 1750 °C ALON is formed. Thus it would have been obvious to use the temperatures recommended by Maguire et al for the formation of ALON if this is the material desired. Maguire et al show formation of bodies from ALON including transparent bodies (Col. 4, line 63) and the step of sintering while Serpek disclose the use of a continuous process with a hopper.

Claims 12 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maguire et al (4,686,070) in view of Serpek (1.030,929 as applied to claims 1-11, 13-26 and 29 above, and further in view of the Abstract of JP403023269A or Dodds et al (5,925,584).

JP403023269A disclose that bodies having superior linear transmissivity can be produced by hot isostatic pressing. Dodds et al disclose hot isostatic pressing to be conventionally used in the art (Col. 10, lines 29-30) for densifying aluminum oxynitride containing materials (Col. 10, lines 27-41). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use this technique with the process of Maguire et al as moldified by Serpek for art recognized reasons.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/618,741

Art Unit: 1731

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Maguire et al (4,686,070).

This reference discloses ALON. The instant claims 23-24 are product-by-process claims and accordingly the standards set forth in MPEP 2113 will be followed. Even though product-by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself, i.e. differences in product characteristics, and not on its method of production.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Derrington whose telephone number is 703 308-3832. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703 308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-7718 for regular communications and 703 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

jd March 24, 2002

JAMES DERRINGTON PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 137